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#### Abstract

Details of efficient syntheses of (9S,12S)-cycloisodityrosine (6) and a concise total synthesis of RAVII (1) were described. An intramolecular $S_{N} A r$-based cycloetherification reaction was employed as the key ring-closure step for construction of the illusive 14-membered m,p-cyclophane. Treatment of methyl N -[ N -(tert-butyloxycarbonyl)-L-(3-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenylalanyl]-L-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalaninate ((9S,12S)-10) with potassium carbonate in DMSO at room temperature provided a mixture of two atropdiastereomers 20a and 20b in $75 \%$ yield that were transformed into cycloisodityrosine 6 in good overall yield. Furthermore, a size-selective ring-forming process was established for methyl N -[N-(tert-butyloxycarbonyl)-L-(3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanyl)]-L-4-fluoro-3nitrophenylalaninate ((9S,12S)-11). Thus, cyclization of $\mathbf{1 1}\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{rt}\right)$, followed by in situ methylation, gave exclusively the 14-membered m,p-cyclphane 20a and 20b without competitive formation of the alternative 15-membered p,p-cyclophane. The selective ring-forming process allowed us to develop one of the shortest and the most efficient synthesis of cycloisodityrosine to date. Computational studies have shown that it was the elimination, but not the addition, step that determined the ring-size selectivity observed in the cyclization of substrate $\mathbf{1 1}$. Coupling of $\mathbf{6}$ with L-N-Boc-Ala (51) proceeded efficiently to provide the corresponding tripeptide 52 that, after removal of the N-Boc function, was allowed to react with another tripeptide 53 to afford the hexapeptide 50 in good overall yield. Saponification followed by liberation of amino function from $\mathbf{5 0}$ gave the secoacid, whose cyclization (DPPA, DMF, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ) afforded the natural product RA-VII (1).


## Introduction and Background

RA-VII (1) is a bicyclic hexapeptide (Figure 1) isolated from the plants Rubia akane and Rubia cordifolia (Rubiaceae) ${ }^{1}$ whose structure is closely related to bouvardin (NSC 259968, 2) isolated from Bouvardia ternifolia. ${ }^{2}$ To date, 16 congeners (RA-I-RA-XVI) have been identified, and their relative and absolute configurations have been determined. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ characteristic structural feature of this family of natural products is the presence of an 18-membered peptide ring and a bridged 14-membered cycloisodityrosine unit with an endo arylaryl ether linkage. Both RA-VII (1) and bouvardin (2) show potent antitumor activity by inhibiting protein synthesis through eukaryotic 80 S ribosomal binding. ${ }^{4,5}$ Mechanistic studies using purified elongation factors and ribosomes have identified RA-VII as a peptidyltransferase inhibitor. RA-VII has been selected for clinic
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1 RA-VII $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
2 Bouvardin, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}$
3 RA-V, Deoxybouvardin $R_{1}=R_{2}=R_{3}=H$
$4 \mathrm{RA}-\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OH}$
Figure 1.
evaluations in J apan as an anticancer agent. ${ }^{3}$ Extensive structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies carried out in Itokawa ${ }^{6}$ and Boger's ${ }^{7}$ groups elucidated that the 14membered cycloisodityrosine moiety is the pharmacophore for this class of natural products.

[^1]Scheme 1


$6 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~N}$-dimethylcycloisodityrosine methyl ester
Strongly promoted by RA-VII's significant biological activity, great potential as a chemotherapeutic agent, and its unique structural features, total synthesis of RA-VII and its congeners has attracted a number of research groups, and a variety of synthetic approaches have been investigated. ${ }^{3,8-10}$ From the viewpoint of synthetic design, three strategies, namely, (1) transannulation, ${ }^{7,9}$ (2) bot-tom-up, ${ }^{11}$ and (3) top-down approaches ${ }^{7,9,10}$ were the most evident for the synthesis of the bridged bicyclic system of RAs, and indeed all of them have been investigated. While the first two strategies failed to give the target molecules, the "top-down" approach (Scheme 1) was found to be more operative. Realizing that ring closure of the bottom 18-membered macrocycle from seco-acid was rel atively easy, ${ }^{12}$ synthetic efforts have thus far concentrated on the synthesis of the key subunit, L,L-N,Ndimethylcycloisodityrosine methyl ester 6. However, an efficient synthesis of such compound is far from being a trivial problem despite its simple structure. Cyclization via macrolactamization ${ }^{13}$ under different activating con-

[^2](13) Boger, D. L.; Y ohannes, D. J . Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 1763-1767.
ditions including polymer-supported agents, ring closure via C3-O2 bond formation based on Ullmann ether synthesis, ${ }^{9}$ and intramolecular oxidative phenol coupling ${ }^{11}$ have failed to give the elusive 14-membered ring. Alternatively, I noue and co-workers ${ }^{10}$ have devised an ingenious synthesis of 6 based on thallium trinitrate (TTN )-promoted intramolecular phenolic oxidative coupling of tetrahal ogenated dipeptide followed by reductive dehal ogenation, ${ }^{14}$ but the key cyclization step proceeded in only $5 \%$ yield. Boger and co-workers ${ }^{7,9,13}$ have successfully implemented an intramolecular Ullmann ether synthesis to reach directly the cycloisodityrosine 6 by formation of the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ bond. However, the yield of this cyclization methodology was still low to moderate and the harsh reaction conditions used were far from ideal. In fact, it has recently been disclosed that epimerization had occurred during the Ullmann ether synthesis and that the synthetic product thus obtained was in fact an epi-6. ${ }^{15,16}$

In connection with our research project on the total synthesis of vancomycin and related glycopeptide antibiotics, we have developed a novel cycloetherification methodology based on intramolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reaction. ${ }^{8,17}$ The power of this ring-forming process has been demonstrated in the synthesis of a variety of complex biologically important macrocycles with an endo aryl-aryl ${ }^{18}$ or aryl-alkyl ether ${ }^{19}$ linkage, which were otherwise difficultly accessible. We ${ }^{20}$ and Boger's group ${ }^{16,21}$ have independently applied this technology to the synthesis of cycloisodityrosine by way of cyclization of the linear dipeptide (9S,12S)-8 (route a, Scheme 2). Although the synthesis was relatively efficient, partial epimerization

[^3]Scheme 2


7
9
$\downarrow$



8
$10 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$
$11 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
of the C9 chiral center was encountered even under optimized reaction conditions. While it was surprising to observe facile epimerization under such mild conditions ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF), we were intrigued by the fact that epimerization occurred exclusively at the C9 chiral center rather than at C12. This preference of epimerization site is uncommon, as it is well-known that derivatives of N -methylamino acids are more prone to racemization (C12) than the corresponding amino acid derivatives (C9). ${ }^{22}$ To account for the configurational instability of C9 chiral center, wehypothesized that the presence of a nitro group para to the benzylic position of the dipeptide 7 and/ or 8 was responsible for the facile epimerization at C-9. ${ }^{20 b}$ Thus, both resonance contribution of the nitro group and the inductive effect of the electron-deficient aromatic ring increased the kinetic acidity of the C-9 proton and, consequently, the opportunity of facile enolization and hence epimerization at this chiral center. ${ }^{23}$ Based on this assumption, we reasoned that an alternative strategy based on cyclization of dipeptide 10 wherein the nitro group was positioned meta to the benzylic carbon might overcome this problem (route b, Scheme 2). ${ }^{24}$ An added bonus to this approach is that the access to natural products would now be achieved by reductive removal of nitro group ( $\mathbf{9}$ to 6). Previous experiences have shown that this transformation can be realized much easier than

[^4]the replacement of nitro by a hydroxy function (7 to 6, Scheme 2), especially in a larger scale preparation. Furthermore, we also planned to investigate the cyclization of dipeptide $\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$, which contains two nucleophilic phenols and thus raises an interesting issue of ringsize selectivity during the cydlization. ${ }^{25}$ The merit of this route is that it would allow the use of commercially available L-Dopa instead of the side-chain selectively protected L-Dopa derivatives for which five steps are required in the until now shortest syntheses. ${ }^{26}$ Full details of the successful implementation of these strategies, highlighted by efficient syntheses of cycloisodityrosine (6) and, subsequently, a total synthesis of RA-VII (1) are reported in the present paper.

## Results and Discussion

Cyclization of Dipeptide (9S,12S)-10. Several syntheses of L-Dopa derivatives bearing a selectively protected catechol have been described. ${ }^{26}$ The most direct route involving selective protection of unsymmetric catechol of L-Dopa was unfortunately inefficient due to the similar reactivity of the two phenol groups. ${ }^{27}$ Among numerous reported approaches, the shortest syntheses were that developed by Boger and J ung starting from L-tyrosine. ${ }^{26}$ Our synthesis based on E vans' asymmetric azidation methodology ${ }^{28,29}$ is shown in Scheme 3. Conversion of acid 12 into the mixed anhydride with pivaloyl chloride followed by reaction with the lithium salt of (4S)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone (13) afforded the imide 14. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 4}$ with KHMDS followed by trisyl azide according to Evans gave the $\alpha$-azido derivative 15. The desired diastereoisomer was obtained after flash chromatography in $83 \%$ yield. Transesterification of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with MeOM gBr ${ }^{30}$ furnished azido ester 16 in $90 \%$ yield with concomitant recovery of the chiral auxiliary 13. Hydrogenolysis of $\mathbf{1 6}$ afforded L-methyl 3-isopropyloxy-4-methoxyphenylalaninate 17, which was coupled directly with L-N-Boc-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalanine (18) ${ }^{31}$ to give the dipeptide 19 in $90 \%$ overall yield. Deprotection of isopropyloxy ether with $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}{ }^{32}$ caused partial removal of the N-Boc moiety. However, treatment of the crude product with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under classic conditions reinstalled the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Boc}$ function, affording the cyclization precursor (9S,12S)-10 in excellent overall yield (99\% for two steps).

In searching for cycloetherification conditions of compound 10, a dramatic solvent effect was observed. Treatment of $(9 \mathrm{~S}, 12 \mathrm{~S})$ - $\mathbf{1 0}$ with potassium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ in DMF at room temperature for 24 h did not afford any cyclic compound. However, an efficient macrocyclization occurred when the solvent was switched to $\mathrm{DMSO}^{18,25}$

[^5]${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, pivaloyl chloride, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h , then $13 / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi},-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{THF}, 84 \%$; (b) KHMDS, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then, trisyln ${ }_{3}, 2 \mathrm{~min}$, then $\mathrm{AcOH}, 83 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{MeOMgBr}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$, $92 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, quantitative; (e) $\mathrm{EDC}, \mathrm{HOBt}, \mathbf{1 8}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; f) $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then, $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{rt}, \mathbf{7 5 \%}$
to give an atropdiastereomeric mixture of cycloisodityrosine 20a and 20b. The atropisomerism of 20a and 20b was determined by NOE studies. As observed in the vancomycin series, ${ }^{33}$ a NOE cross-peak between protons H12 and H18 was observed in the NOESY spectrum of M atropdiastereomer 20a, while that of H12 and H15 was found for the $P$ diasteromer 20b. ${ }^{34}$ This stereochemistry assignment was of no consequence in the present synthesis since the planar chirality will be destroyed in subsequent synthetic operations. However, it did provide useful information regarding the stereochemical integrity of these two cyclophanes and supported the notion that compounds 20a and 20b did not result from the partial epimerization of the chiral carbon centers (vide infra). In line with the configurational stability of 20a and 20b, no epimerization occurred when they were treated with DBU in THF, conditions known to epimerize 7 (degradation was, however, observed).
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Scheme 4a
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{2}, \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}, 75 \%$; (c) NaH, DMF-THF, excess MeI, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt , $85 \%$; (d) TFA, 20 min , quantitative.

The transformation of cyclophane 20a and 20b into the cycloisodityrosine 6 was straightforward (Scheme 4). Hydrogenation of $\mathbf{2 0 a}$ in MeOH in the presence of catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ afforded the amino derivative, which was submitted, without further purification, to in situ diazotization and reduction ${ }^{35}$ to afford compound (9S,12S)-21 ( $\left[\alpha_{D}\right]=+56, ~ c ~ 0.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ;$ lit. ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}\left[\alpha_{D}\right]=+57$, c $0.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) in $75 \%$ overall yield. The same synthetic sequence applied to compound 20b afforded a product identical in all respects with that obtained from 20a, establishing thus firmly the atropisomerism of these two compounds. We have not observed reactivity differences between 20a and 20b in the above two-step sequence, and in practical synthesis, we used the mixture of 20a and $\mathbf{2 0 b}$ for the preparation of $\mathbf{2 1}$ without the erosion of overall yield. Other reductive deamination procedures (tBuONO, DMF;36 tBuONO, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$, DMF ${ }^{37,19}$ ) were examined, but none of them was found to be suitable in this specific case. The N-bis-methylation of $\mathbf{2 1}$ was carried out by adding sodium hydride ( NaH ) in a mixture of solvents (THF-DMF, 1/1) in the presence of an excess of methyl iodide to provide compound 22 in $85 \%$ yield. Under classic conditions, i.e., formation of amide anion of $\mathbf{2 1}$ followed by addition of Mel, a poor yield of the desired product $\mathbf{2 2}$ was obtained. Removal of the N -Boc moiety from $\mathbf{2 2}$ under mild acidic conditions gave then L,L-N ,N-dimethylcycloisodityrosine methyl ester 6, whose physical data were identical in all respects with the literature values. ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}, 20 \mathrm{~b}}$ While compounds $\mathbf{2 0}$ and 21 have a single solution conformation in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3^{-}}$ OD, the N,N-dimethylated cycloisodityrosine derivatives (22 and 6) exist in two rigid solution conformations (cis, trans of internal amide bond). In the case of 6, the two conformers were even detectable by TLC. ${ }^{9,20 b}$

In the case of cyclophane $\mathbf{2 4}$ (Scheme 5) where the terminal amino function was protected by benzyloxycarbonyl group, methylation ( $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{Mel}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{DMF}$ ) furnished a bicyclic compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ ( $85 \%$ yield) instead of the desired $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-bismethylated derivative of type 22. The

[^7]Scheme 5a


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) TFA, rt, 20 min ; (b) $\mathrm{CbzOSu}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF, rt, 2h, $95 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$, DMF, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, (d) $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{2}, \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}, 75 \%$; (e) NaH, DMF-THF, excess MeI, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 85 \%$.
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28
structure of compound 25 was determined by spectroscopic studies. While the formation of imide from peptide is amply precedented and readily explained by intramolecular N -acylation, 38,39 the high stereoselectivity observed for the C-methylation was nevertheless intriguing. There were in fact three bond-forming process, i.e., N -acylation, N - and C-methylation, occurred in this transformation, the high stereosel ectivity observed led us to draw a reaction cascade as shown in Scheme 6. After formation of dianion, N -methylation occurred first at the terminal amide function leading to 26. I nstead of the second N -methylation, intramolecular N -acylation was favored in this case for both steric and geometric reasons leading to $\mathbf{2 7} .{ }^{39}$ Finally, formation of enolate followed by C-methylation afforded compound 25. Due to the rigidity of the bicyclic ring system, only one face of the enolate was accessible to the electrophile leading to the observed high diastereoselectivity (Scheme 6). That C-methylation occurred at C-12 rather than at C-9 was determined by a strong NOE effect observed between two methyl groups. Diminished steric hindrance of the N-Cbz in compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ vs N -Boc in $\mathbf{2 1}$ may account for their different reactivity.

[^8]Scheme 7


Scheme 8


Size-Selective Ring-F orming Process. Encouraged by these results, we became interested in investigating type 29 substrates (Scheme 7) in order to study the ringsize selectivity during the cyclization (path a vs b) and the possible thermoequilibrium of products 30 and 31 via Smiles rearrangement. ${ }^{40}$ If the cyclization could be driven, either kinetically or thermodynamically toward the formation of type $\mathbf{3 0}$ m,p-cyclophane, a desirable feature would beevident since this route would allow the use of commercially available L-Dopa instead of sidechain selectively protected L-Dopa derivatives. ${ }^{25}$ Linear compounds $\mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Scheme 8) were prepared following standard procedures. Thus, temporary protection of two hydroxyl groups of L-Dopa methyl ester (32) as TMS ethers (33), followed by EDC-mediated coupling with 4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylpropionic acid (34) ${ }^{41}$ or L-N-Boc-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylal anine (18) ${ }^{31}$ gave, after acidic aqueous workup, the cyclization precursors (9S)-35 or (9S,-12S)-11 in higher than 90\% yield.

The initial cyclization study was carried out with model compound 35 (Scheme 9). When a solution of 35 in THF ( 0.01 M ) was treated with NaH at room temperature, a smooth reaction occurred to give a mixture of two atropdiastereomers $\mathbf{3 6 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 b}$ in reasonable yields ( $55-65 \%$, entry 1, Table 1). Neither the formation of 15membered p,p-cyclophane 37 nor that of the dimer 38 was observed under these conditions. When $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was used as a base in DMF ( 0.01 M ), cyclophanes 36a and 36b were produced in $42 \%$ yield together with a signifi-
(40) (a) Truce, W. E.; Kreider, E. M.; Brand, W. W. The Smiles and related rearrangements of aromatic systems. Organic Reactions; J ohn Wiley \& Sons Inc.: New York, 1970; Vol. 18, pp 99-215. (b) Borchardt, A.; Still, W. C. Synlett 1995, 539-540 and references therein.
(41) Beugelmans, R.; Singh, G. P.; Bois-Choussy, M.; Chastanet, J .; Zhu, J. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5535-5542.
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${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 0.002 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{rt}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, MeI, acetone, reflux, $\mathrm{Ih}, 90 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{2}, \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}, 73 \%$.

Table 1. Survey of Reaction Conditions for the Cyclization of 35

| entry | base | solvent | concn (M) | T ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | time (h) | $\begin{gathered} \text { yield of } \\ 36 a+36 \mathrm{~b} \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yield of } \\ & 38 \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NaH | THF | $10^{-2}$ | 0-25 | 2 | 58 | 0 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | $10^{-2}$ | 25 | 3 | 42 | 20 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | $10^{-2}$ | 5 | 48 | $0^{\text {a }}$ | $0^{\text {a }}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | 25 | 6 | 60 | 10 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | 25 | 1 | 70 | 1 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | $4 \times 10^{-3}$ | 25 | 1.3 | 68 | 5 |
| 7 | CsF | DMF | $10^{-2}$ | 25 | 23 | 0 | 30 |

cant amount of a cyclic dimer 38 (20\%). ${ }^{22}$ The yields of 36a and $\mathbf{3 6 b}$ were increased to $60 \%$ when the concentration of 35 was decreased to 0.002 M . The best result was obtained when the cyclization was performed in DMSO at 0.002 M with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base. Under these conditions, compounds 36a and 36b were isolated in higher than $70 \%$ combined yield and the formation of the dimer 38 was minimized ( $<2 \%$ ). Cesium fluoride (CsF) failed to give the desired compounds and only dimer 38 was isolated in less than $30 \%$ yield together with the recovered starting materials.
The formation of the 15 -membered p,p-cycl ophane 37 was not observed. Furthermore, no Smiles rearrangement was observed when pure cyclophanes 36a and 36b were submitted to the cyclization conditions. This result was understandable if one considers the high ring constraints ${ }^{43}$ associated with the formation of the p,pcydophane (37). A pleasant consequence is that the two otherwise equally reactive hydroxyl functions ${ }^{26}$ were successfully differentiated, a phenomenon inherent to the

[^9]Table 2. Survey of Reaction Conditions for the Cyclization of 11

| entry | base | solvent | concn <br> (M) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | time <br> (h) | $\begin{gathered} \text { yield of } \\ \mathbf{4 1 a}+\mathbf{4 1 b} \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NaH | THF | $10^{-2}$ | 0-25 | 4 | $0^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2 | NaH | DMF | $10^{-2}$ | 0-25 | 5 | 21 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | $10^{-2}$ | 5 | 63 | < 5 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | $10^{-2}$ | 25 | 7 | 45 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | $10^{-2}$ | 25 | 2 | 43 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | THF | $10^{-2}$ | 25 | 21 | $0^{\text {c }}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | HMPT | $10^{-2}$ | 25 | 24 | ND ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | 25 | 7 | 44 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | 25 | 2 | 56 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Starting material was recovered. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ In the presence of 18 -crown6. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ A significant amount of acyclic dimer was isolated. ${ }^{d}$ Not determined, the conversion was too low to be meaningful.
intramolecular process. In a control experiment, we have shown that the reaction of $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Boc}$ dopamine methyl ester with methyl N -trifluoroacetyl-L-4-fluoro-3-nitrophe nylalaninate $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right.$, DMF) gave equal amount of the two possible monoarylated compounds.
A variable amount of cyclic dimer was obtained when the substrate concentration was higher than 0.005 M . That the intermolecular $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ reaction becoming more competitive in the cyclization of 35 than in previously studied substrates such as $\mathbf{1 0}$ could partly be explained on statistical grounds as both hydroxyl groups of 35 can participate to an intermolecular process. The atropisomerism between compounds 36a and 36b was confirmed by the independent conversion of the individual macrocycles into a common 14-membered cyclophane $\mathbf{4 0}^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathrm{via}$ a two-step sequence described earlier (vide supra).
With these results in hand, we then turned our attention to the fully functionalized dipeptide 11. In contrast to (9S)-35, treatment of (9S,12S)-11 in THF ( 0.01 M) with NaH gave no cyclic product and only starting material was recovered (Table 2). Using DMF under otherwise identical conditions, cyclic compound 41a and 41b were isolated in $20 \%$ yield. After a survey of reaction parameters varying a base, solvent, and temperature, it was found that no cyclic monomer was produced in THF (dielectric constant $\epsilon=7.6$ ) and HMPT $(\epsilon=30)$ with either NaH or $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base. The cyclization proceeded smoothly in more polar aprotic solvents such as DMF ( $\epsilon$ $=37)$ and DMSO $(\epsilon=47)$, the latter being the best in accord with its higher dielectric constant. A reasonable reaction rate was observed only at room temperature. At $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction time was substantially prolonged leading to a diminished yield due to partial decomposition of cyclized product. Finally, under optimal conditions we found ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMSO, 0.002 M , room temperature), a mixture of cyclic products 41a and 41b was isolated in $55-65 \%$ yield. It was interesting to note that when the cyclization was carried out in THF ( 0.01 M ) in the presence of potassium carbonate and crown ether 18-C6 , only acyclic dimer was produced. An observation that partial degradation of cyclic products 41a and 41b occurred during flash chromatography purification and the fact that both cydization and methylation steps could, a priori, be carried out under identical conditions prompted us to examine the possibility of combining these two operations in a onepot fashion. Indeed, treatment of a DMSO solution ( 0.002 M ) of dipeptide ( $9 \mathrm{~S}, 12 \mathrm{~S}$ )-11 with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ at room temperature followed, after 2 h , by addition of Mel (excess) gave compounds 20a and 20b in greater than $75 \%$ isolated yield (Scheme 10).
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Figure 2.
To verify if any epimerization had occurred during the cyclization, we have synthesized compound (9S,12R)-42 by coupling L-Dopa (33) with D-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylaIanine (43) ${ }^{31}$ (Scheme 11). When (9S,12R)-42 was submitted to the identical cycloetherification conditions as described for (9S,12S)-11, a mixture of two atropodiastereomers 44a and 44b was obtained whose physical data were completely different from those of 41a and 41b (Scheme 10). This control experiment indicated that the stereochemical integrity of $(95,12 S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ was preserved in both preparation and cyclization steps, in sharp contrast to the easy epimerization encountered with compound (9S,12S)-7 ${ }^{16,20 b}$ (Scheme 2).

We have also examined the cyclization of dipeptide (9S,12S)-45 and (9S,12R)-46 wherein a L- or D-N-Boc-N-methyl-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylal anine (48) was incorporated (Figure 2). Under various conditions examined, compound (9S,12S)-45 did not give any cyclic product. Conversely, treatment of (9S,12R)-46 with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in DMSO gave the desired cyclophane 47a and 47b in

## Scheme 12


reasonable yields. These results were in accord with the previous observation that the 9S,12R diastereoisomer was more proneto cyclization than the corresponding 9S,12S diastereoisomer. ${ }^{16,20 b}$
Total Synthesis of RA-VII. With a quantity of cycloisodityrosine methyl ester 6 in hands, the total synthesis of RA-VII was pursued. Following literature precedents, we first tried to prepare the hexapeptide (50) by assemblage of cycloisodityrosine (6) and tetrapeptide 49, which was in turn synthesized according to the standard peptide coupling procedures (Scheme 12). However, under conditions prescribed for such transformation ${ }^{9,10}$ we were unable to isolate the desired hexapeptide 50, and degradations of two coupling partners were instead observed. We thought that both the low reactivity of the secondary amine present in 6 and the polypeptide nature of the acid 49 contributed to the failure of this coupling reaction. ${ }^{44}$ Reagents such as PyBrop and BOPCl known to be especially successful for coupling of N methylamino acid were attempted without success. To remedy this reactivity problem, we hypothesized that a two-step sequence via coupling of $\mathbf{6}$ with N -Boc-L-alanine 51 followed by coupling of the resulting tripeptide 52 with another linear tripeptide N-Boc-d-Ala-L-Ala-N,O-dim-ethyl-L-Tyr 53 would be more efficient. The reason for planning this alternative synthesis was that the activated form of amino acid N -Boc-L-alanine 51 should be less prone to side reactions and thus have a lifetime longer enough to react with the secondary amine 6 . The [3 + 3] segment coupling between 52 and 53 should also be facilitated by the fact that the nucleophile in this case will be a primary amine, known to be more reactive than the secondary amine. Indeed, coupling of 6 with 51 (PyBroP, ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}, \mathrm{DMF}$ ) gave the corresponding tripeptide 52 in $97 \%$ yield. Removal of N -Boc group from 52 followed by its coupling with tripeptide 53 (EDC, HOBt, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded hexapeptide 50 in $60 \%$ yield. Saponification followed by liberation of amino function gave the seco-acid which was cyclized by treatment with DPPA in DMF to provide the natural product RA-VII (1) in 20\% yield (Scheme 13). Attempts to increase the overall yield of this three-step sequence by varying the deprotection and macrolactamization conditions were unsuccessful. The physical data of this synthetic RA-VII were shown to be identical in all respects with an authentic sample generously provided by Professor Itokawa.

## Discussion

A two step, i.e., addition-elimination sequence via formation of a Meisenheimer-type intermediate is a generally accepted mechanism for nucleophilic aromatic
(44) Humphrey, J. M.; Chamberlin, A. R. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2243-2266.

Scheme 13a

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) PyBrop, $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{EtN}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 95 \%$; b) TFA, it; c) EDC, HOBt, 53, 62\%; (d) LiOH, THF-MeOH-H2O; (e) DPPA, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathbf{2 0 \%}$.
substitution reaction $\left(\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}\right) .{ }^{45}$ Accordingly, the hybridization of the carbon atom bearing the leaving group (fluoride in our case) changes from $\mathrm{sp}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{sp}_{3}$ when going from the reactant to the intermediate and back to $\mathrm{sp}_{2}$ after expelling the fluoride. A consequence of this hybridization change in the intramolecular version of this reaction is that the ring constraint of the intermediate may be lower than that of the macrolactamization intermediate since deformation of a cyclohexadiene system should in principle be energetically easier than that of the planar aromatic ring. This is, in our opinion, one of the reasons why intramolecular $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ reaction is more efficient in the construction of highly constrained macrocycles than other methodol ogies such as macrolactamization technique. This consideration raised an interesting mechanistic question regarding the ring size sel ectivity observed in the cycloetherifi cation of substrate (9S,12S)11. Was the formation of the 15-membered Meisenheimer intermediate 55 possible (Scheme 14) although the formation of 15-membered cyclophane 56 was not observed? To understand this point, a computational study was carried out.

Five thousand conformations of each compounds, i.e., the dipeptide 11, the two zwitterionic intermediate 54,

[^10]Scheme 14


55 and two cycl ophanes 41, 56 were generated by random search Monte Carlo method ${ }^{46}$ and optimized by TNCG Truncated Newton molecular mechanics minimization ${ }^{47}$ using the Macromodel (version 5.5) program ${ }^{48}$ with the AMBER force field ${ }^{49}$ and GB/SA water solvation. The search was carried out on blocks of 1000 Monte Carlo steps until no additional conformation was found to be of lower energy than the current minimum. Duplicated conformations as well as those that had chirality changes were discarded. F rom these conformational searches, all the possible conformations within $3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from the global minimum were analyzed.
First of all, the lowest energy conformers of cyclization precursor 11 were folded and the distance between the two reactive sites $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}$ was close enough to provide entropy driving force for the cyclization and account for the observed facile cyclization according to the proximity theory. ${ }^{50}$

Since the entropy loss in the macrocycle-forming process is considerable, a process that can lower the rotation may be expected to lead to a substantial acceleration of the overall rate of reaction. F or this reason, only the lowest energy conformations of $\mathbf{1 1}$ that have similar torsional angles related to the intermediates 54, 55 and the cyclophane 41, 56 (F igure 3) were considered. The calculated steric energies (AMBER) and the most

[^11]Table 3. Calculated Steric Energies and Relevant Geometrical Parameters of Compounds 11, 41, and Related Intermediates

|  | 11A | 54 | 41 | 11B | 55 | 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E (kJ/mol) | -413.5 | -347.0 | -260.0 | -423.7 | -347.0 | -214.9 |
| O2-C1-C16-C15 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | -131.3 | -156.8 |  | -107.4 | -148.4 |
| C1-C16-C15-C14 |  | 1.9 | -0.7 |  | -6.6 | -0.3 |
| C16-C15-C14-C13 |  | 179.4 | 166.1 |  | 174.9 | 160.9 |
| C15-C14-C13-C12 | -106.15 | -104.7 | -103.5 | -89.73 | -81.9 | -81.3 |
| C14-C13-C12-C11 | 78.46 | 59.2 | 58.7 | -66.66 | -68.9 | -62.4 |
| C13-C12-C11-N10 | -89.97 | -94.2 | -101.7 | 143.97 | 160.7 | 142.9 |
| C12-C11-N10-C9 | -177.17 | -179.2 | -176.5 | -166.29 | -172.6 | 174.5 |
| C11-N10-C9-C8 | 170.41 | 177.1 | 179.0 | 135.11 | 108.0 | 136.9 |
| N10-C9-C8-C7 | 60.51 | 80.9 | 82.2 | -48.97 | -50.1 | -54.9 |
| C9-C8-C7-C19 | -96.74 | -57.1 | -58.0 | 89.87 | 99.4 | 107.2 |
| C8-C7-C19-C3 |  | 178.5 | 171.1 |  | -173.7 | -165.8 |
| C7-C19-C3-02 |  | -177.9 | -169.7 |  |  |  |
| C7-C19-C3-C4 |  |  |  |  | -0.6 | 1.0 |
| C19-C3-C4-O2 |  |  |  |  | 174.7 | 152.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The torsion angles are in degrees.


$11 A$



41
56

Figure 3.
relevant geometrical parameters of these conformers are summarized in Table 3. It was noticed that the two atropisomers have very similar steric energy, thus for clarity only one atropisomer will be considered in the following discussion.

Energy analysis revealed that in view of their similar steric energy both zwitterionic intermediates 54, 55 can be produced. However, the energy difference between two cyclophanes 41 and 56 was so enormous that only formation of the former was observed. ${ }^{51}$ In fact, both aromatic ring systems of the 15 -membered p,p-cyclophane 56 was perturbed in a great extent than that of the m,p-cyclophane 41 (Table 3), and consequently, the formation of 41 was largely favored. These considerations suggested that the formation of both Meisenheimer adducts 54 and 55 were energetically allowed. However, in intermediate 55 the departure of fluoride was hampered due to introduction of highly strained ring system. Taking into account the reversibility of the addition step in the $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ mechanism, we concluded that it was the elimination, but not the addition step that determined the ring size selectivity observed in the cyclization of substrate 11.

## Conclusion

We described efficient syntheses of cycloisodityrosine (6) and subsequently, a total synthesis of bicyclic hexapeptide RA-VII (1). An intramolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$-based cycloetherification reaction was employed as the key ringclosure step for the construction of the illusive 14membered $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}$-cyclophane. The selective ring forming process observed for the cyclization of linear dipeptide 11 is until now one of the shortest and the most efficient synthesis of cycloisodityrosine. Computational studies have revealed that the preferential formation of 14 membered m,p-cyclophane over the alternative 15membered p,p-cyclophane is controlled by the elimination step of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ mechanism. The difficult $2+4$ peptide coupling between cycloisodityrosine 6 and tetrapeptide 49 en route to RA-VII (1) was resolved by an alternative $3+3$ assemblage strategy on a rational basis. The synthetic scheme described in this paper should find application in the synthesis of a range of natural product analogues for detailed SAR studies.

## Experimental Section

(4S)-3-[3-[3-I sopropyloxy-4-methoxyphenyl]-1-oxopro-pionyl]-4-(phenylmethyl)-2-oxazolidinone (14). To a precooled solution ( $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of acid $12(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF
(51) As pointed out by one of reviewers, we agree that the comparison of energies between 41 and 56 may not be relevant in the present case since compound 56 has never been isolated.
$(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ under Ar were added, successively, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 3.54 mL 25.20 mmol ) and pivaloyl chloride ( $2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting slurry was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . In a separate flask containing a solution of (4S)-4-benzyl-2-oxazolidinone 13 $(4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 23.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 75 mL ) was added n-BuLi ( 1.6 M in hexane, $15.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , this metalated oxazolidinone solution was transferred to the flask containing the mixed anhydride via cannula, and the resulting white slurry was stirred at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and then overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted five times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in a vacuum to give an oily residue. Crystallization from EtOAc/heptane gave $\mathbf{1 4}(7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 100-$ $101{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc-heptane); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+42.0\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 1.00\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 1782, 1702, 1510, $1384 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.5,13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ 1H), 2.92-2.98 (m, 2H), 3.15-3.35 (m, 3H), $3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-$ $4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53$ (septet, $\mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81-$ $6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (62.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 22.2,29.9,37.4,37.8,55.1,56.1,66.2$ 71.4, 112.2, 116.6, 121.0, 127.4, 129.0, 129.4, 133.0, 135.3 147.3, 149.0, 153.5, 172.5; MS m/z 397 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ : C, 69.50; H, 6.85; N, 3.52. Found: C, 69.59; H 6.95; N, 3.54.
(4S,2S)-3-[2-Azido-3-[3-isopropyloxy-4-methoxyphenyl]-1-oxopropionyl]-4-(phenylmethyl)-2-oxazolidinone (15). Toa stirred solution of the imide $\mathbf{1 4}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added KHMDS $(0.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene, $37.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting sol ution was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . To this solution was added, via cannula, a precooled $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) solution of trisyl azide ( 5.1 g , 16.4 mmol ) in THF ( 30 mL ). The resulting solution was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , quenched by addition of glacial acetic acid ( $3.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 57.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and warmed to room temperature with a water bath. After being stirred at room temperature for 3 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with brine ( 100 mL ) and extracted four times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluent: EtOAd heptane $=1 / 4$ ) of the crude product gave $15(4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+76.4\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, c 1.26); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2113$, 1781, 1706, $1513 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.37$ ( d , $\mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 9.0, $13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.14 (dd, J = 5.6, $13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.32 (dd, J $=3.3,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19$ (dd, J $=2.8,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.54 (septet, J $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.59 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.19-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.29-7.39 (m, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 MHz $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 22.1,37.2,37.6,55.4,56.0,61.5,66.6,71.5,112.1$ 116.9, 121.8, 127.5, 128.0, 128.9, 129.1, 129.4, 134.7, 147.3 149.7, 152.8, 170.6; MS m/z 438 ( ${ }^{+}$); HRMS m/z 438.1912 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ requires 438.1903).
(2S)-Methyl 2-Aazido-3-(3-isopropyloxy-4-methoxyphenyl)propionate (16). To methanol ( 20 mL ) cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added Me MgBr ( 3 M solution in ether, $6.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The white slurry was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min and transferred, via cannula, to a precool ed ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of compound $\mathbf{1 5}(4.0$ $\mathrm{g}, 9.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. After being stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , the reaction mixture was di luted with brine ( 50 mL ). The volatile was removed under reduced pressure and the aqueous solution was extracted four times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluent: EtOAc/ heptane $=1 / 3$, then EtOAc) gave azido ester 16 ( $2.58 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) as a colorless oil and chiral auxiliary 13 ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ). Compound 16: $[\alpha]_{D}=-22.8\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 1.12\right) ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2107$, 1742, $1516 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.94 (dd, J $=8.5,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.10 (dd, J $=5.6$ $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 4.03 (dd, J $=5.6,8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52$ (septet, J $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (62.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 22.1,37.2,52.6,56.0,63.5,71.5$ 112.1, 117.2, 121.9, 128.2, 147.2, 149.8, 170.5; MS m/z 293 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;$HRMS m/z $293.1359\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$ requires 293.1375).

Methyl N-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-(3-isopropyloxy-4-methoxyphenylalanyl]-L-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalaninate ( $\mathbf{( 9 5 , 1 2 S ) - 1 9 ) . ~ A ~ s o l u t i o n ~ o f ~} \mathbf{1 6}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeOH $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was hydrogenated at atmospheric pressure in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was filtered through a short pad of Celite and washed with MeOH . The filtrate was evaporated to dryness in vacuo to give 17 ( $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ) as an oil that was used without further purification. To a solution of $\mathbf{1 7}(1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 6.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added sequentially l-N -Boc-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalanine 18 (2.24 g, $6.83 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 6.83 \mathrm{mmol})$, and EDC ( 1.6 g , 8.3 mmol ) at room temperature. After being stirred at room temperature for 80 min , the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with aqueous HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluent: EtOAd heptane $=1: 3$ then 1:1) gave 19 ( $3.54 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as a yellow solid: mp 44-45 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAC-heptane); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+20.9\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, c 0.75); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 3425, 1744, 1706, 1681, 1538, $1513 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.8,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47$ (septet, J $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ NH), $6.20(d, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (dd, J $=8.6,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ (ddd, J = 2.3, 7.1, $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.85 (dd, J = 2.3, 7.1 Hz, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 22.2$, $22.3,28.5$ (3 C), 37.4, 37.6, 52.5, 53.5, 55.3, 56.0, 71.7, 80.7, $112.2,117.3,118.5$ (d, J = 20.0 Hz), 122.0, 126.9, 127.7, 136.6 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 147.4, $150.0,154.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=261.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 155.2, 170.1, 171.6; MS m/z 577 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$); HRMS m/z $577.2435\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36}\right.$ $\mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ requires 577.2435).

Methyl N-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-(3-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenylalanyl]-L-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalaninate ( $\mathbf{9 S}, \mathbf{1 2 5}$ )-10). To a cooled sol ution ( $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of dipeptide 19 ( $100.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}(1$ M solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 867 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting yellow solution was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . Five drops of MeOH were added to convert the excess of $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ into $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$, and the volatile was evaporated to dryness. To the solution of the so-obtained crude reaction mixture in THF ( 4 mL ) were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(42 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.19 mmol ). After being stirred at room temperature for 2 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with aqueous HCl and extracted four times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ heptane $=1 / 1.2$ ) gave 10 ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $159-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc-heptane); $[\alpha]_{D}=+31.8\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.66\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 3542, 3422, 1742, 1702, 1682, 1543, $1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.7,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.74 (s, 3H ), 3.86 (s, 3H ), 4.35 (m, 1H ), 4.76 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.75(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 7.43 (ddd, J $=2.2,7.0,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.86 (dd, J $=2.2,7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.5$ (3 C), 37.3, 37.8, 52.9, 53.6, 55.3, 56.3, 80.7, 111.6, 116.3, 119.2 (d, J = 21.0 $\mathrm{Hz}), 121.5,127.7,129.3,134.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 137.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 146.1,154.6$ (d, J $=263.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 154.8, 155.3, 171.1, 172.6; MS m/z $535\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C , 56.07; H, 5.65; N, 7.85. Found: C, 55.62; H, 5.71; N, 7.67.
(9S,12S)-12-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)amino]-2,11-di-oxo-4-methoxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-16-nitro-10-azatricyclo[12.2.2.1 ${ }^{3,7}$ ]nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17-hexaene (20). To a solution of linear dipeptide $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( $140.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( $26 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.01 \mathrm{M}$ ) containing $3 \AA$ Å molecular sieves was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After being stirred at room temperature for 2 h , the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of water and extracted four times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Purification by preparativeTLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: EtOAc/heptane=1:1) afforded atropisomer 20a ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ) as a colorless oil and atropisomer 20b (51 mg, 38\%) as a col orless oil. For 20a: $[\alpha]_{D}=+41.0$
$\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.31\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1744,1682,1607,1537,1494 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.47$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.78-2.86 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.3,13.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.28(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) \delta 28.3$ (3 C), 34.8, 38.5, 52.6, $54.1,56.5,56.8,80.2,112.7,115.3,123.2,125.5,128.5,135.5$, 137.6, 138.0, 147.6, 155.1, 156.3, 170.6, 171.1; MS (FAB)m/z $538(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$. For 20b: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-115.0\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.10\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1736,1708,1687,1602,1532,1518,1497 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87$ (m, 1H), $3.01(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.1$, $13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.68(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0$, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.05 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.6$ (3 C), 34.9, 39.3, $52.2,55.5,56.6,58.0,80.1,113.6,117.3,123.5,123.8,128.4$, 128.7, 129.4, 132.7, 132.9, 136.9, 137.4, 138.9, 145.9, 152.1, 171.1; MS m/z 516 (M+H), 460, 416; HRMS m/z 516.1975 (M $+\mathrm{H})\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}+\mathrm{H}\right.$ requires 516.1982).
(9S,12S)-12-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)amino]-2,11-di-oxo-4-methoxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-10-azatricyclo[12.2.2.13,7] nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17-hexaene (21). A solution of a mixture of 20a and 20b ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was hydrogenated in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ at atmospheric pressure for 50 min . The reaction mixture was then filtered through a pad of Celite and washed thoroughly with MeOH . The filtrate was evaporated to dryness to give the aniline ( 228.0 mg , quantitative) as a brown oil that was immediately used for the next step. To the solution of the soobtained aniline in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, were added, successively, water ( 5 mL ), $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{2}$ ( $50 \%$ solution in water, $0.434 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), a small amount of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and a solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(39.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 1 mL ). After the mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and then at room temperature for 30 min , water ( 10 mL ) was added, and the aqueous phase was extracted four times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: EtOAc/heptane = 1:2) afforded 21 ( $165.2 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $114-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc-heptane); $[\alpha]_{D}=+56$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 0.90\right)\left(\mathrm{li} . \mathrm{t}^{16 \mathrm{~b}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+57^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.6\right)\right.$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ $3442,1743,1695,1689,1620,1532 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.9$, $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.96 (s, 3H ), 4.22 (m, 1H), 4.60 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.04(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$, 6.62 (dd, J = 2.1, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07$ (dd, J $=2.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.15(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.2,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.05 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.4$ (3 C), 34.8, 38.6, 52.4, 53.9, 56.2, 56.8, 81.1, 112.0, 115.2, 116.8, 121.7, 124.0, $125.7,129.6,132.9,133.7,147.0,152.5,154.8,158.1,170.8$, 171.2; MS m/z 471 (M + H), 415, 371.
(9S,12S)-12-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)-N-methylamino]-2,11-dioxo-4-methoxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-10-methyl-10azatricyclo[12.2.2.1 ${ }^{3,7}$ ]nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17hexaene (22). To a precooled ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of $21(13.0 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.028 mmol ) in THF ( 1 mL ) were added four drops of DMF and excess Mel . NaH ( $80 \%$ dispersion, $1.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) was then added in one portion, and the resulting slurry was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and at room temperature for 50 min . The reaction was quenched with aqueous HCl . The aqueous solution was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Purification by preparative $\mathrm{TLC}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluent: toluene/EtOAc $\left.=4: 1\right)$ afforded $22(11.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $85 \%)$ as a 4:1 mixture of conformers: $[\alpha]_{D}=-160\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{C}\right.$ 0.4 ) (lit. ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-161\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 0.2\right)$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1744,1673$, $1648,1519,1448 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of two conformers A and B (4/1)). Conformer: $\delta 1.47$ (s, 9H ), 2.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,12 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ (br. d,
$\mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $2.5,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; Conformer B: $\delta 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (s, 3H), 2.87 (s, 3H), 2.60$3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), 5.53 (dd, J $=4.8,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75$ (br. d, $\mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS m/z $499(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
(9S,12S)-12-[N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino]-2,11-dioxo-4-methoxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-16-nitro-10-azatricyclo[12.2.2.1 ${ }^{3,7}$ ]nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17-hexaene (23). A solution of an equimolar mixture of 20a and 20b ( 43.0 mg , $0.083 \mathrm{mmol})$ in TFA ( 2 mL ) was stirred a room temperature for 20 min . The volatile was then removed, and the residue was redissolved in THF ( 1 mL ). To this solution were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and CbzOSu ( $62.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After being stirred at room temperature for 1 h , the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed by addition of aqueous HCl and extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. PreparativeTLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: EtOAc/heptane $=1.2 / 1$ ) gave an equimolar mixture of 23a and 23b ( 44 mg , $95 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.77-$ $2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $3.9,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46$ (br s, 1H), 6.63 (m, 2H), 6.67 (dd, J $=2.2,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.72 (dd, J = 2.0, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H) , $7.33-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ (dd, J = 1.9, $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$; MS m/z $549(\mathrm{M})$; HRMS m/z $549.1759(\mathrm{M})\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right.$ requires 549.1747).
(9S,12S)-12-[N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino]-2,11-dioxo-4-methoxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-10-azatricyclo[12.2.2.13,7]-nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17-hexaene (24). To a stirred solution of a mixture of $\mathbf{2 3 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 3 b}(15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmo})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the resulting slurry was stirred at 50 C for 2 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, hydrolyzed, and extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give aniline ( 13.0 mg , quantitative) as a brown oil that was immediately used for the next step. To a sol ution of above-obtained ami no derivative in THF ( 1 mL ) and water $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added sequentially $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{2}(50 \%$ solution in water, $23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 1 mL ). After being stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and at room temperature for 30 min , the reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 10 mL ) and extracted four times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Preparative TLC (TLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: EtOAd heptane $=1: 1$ ) afforded $\mathbf{2 4}(10.3 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$ as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) \delta 2.78-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98$ (dd, $\mathrm{J}=4.2,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.0,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.05 (dd, J $=2.5,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.22 (dd, J $=2.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.26-7.46 (m, 7H); MS m/z 504 (M); HRMS m/z 504.1891 (M) ( $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires 504.1897).

Bicyclic Hydantoin 25. To a precooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of 24 (12.0 mg, 0.024 mmol ) in THF ( 1 mL ) and DMF ( 1 mL ) was added an excess of Mel followed by NaH ( $75 \%$ dispersion, $1.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After being stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and at room temperature for 70 min , the reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous HCl and extracted four times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Purification by preparative TLC (TLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: EtOAc/heptane $=1: 1$ ) afforded 25 ( $9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp 198-199 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAcheptane); $[\alpha]_{D}=+305.0\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.20\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1769,1744$, $1713,1519 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.02 (dd, J = 1.9, $16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.07 (s, 3H), $3.00-3.09$ (m,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (s, 3H), $3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.07$ (dd, J $=12.1,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46$ (dd, J $=1.9,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.66 (dd, J $=2.0,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92$ (dd, J $=2.4,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03$ (dd, J $=2.2,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.16 (dd, J $=2.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.22(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 22.0,30.5,39.7,53.3,55.7,56.3$, $67.4,111.8,116.3,122.0,124.4,124.9,129.5,130.8,132.0$, 133.6, 152.4, 156.2, 158.8, 170.0; MS m/z 424 (M); HRMS m/z $424.1653(\mathrm{M})\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right.$ requires 424.1634).
(2S)-Methyl 3-(3,4-Dihydroxyphenyl)-2-[3-(4-fluoro-3nitrophenyl)propionylamino]propionate (35). To a solution of amino ester $33(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added sequentially acid 34 ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt $(250.9 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol})$, and EDC ( $313.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature. After being stirred at room temperature for 30 min , the reaction mixture was diluted with aqueous HCl and extracted four times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: EtOAc) gave $35(561.0 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%)$ as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right.$, c 2.10); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 3423, 1742, 1676, 1616, 1603, 1536, 1510, $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 2.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74$ (dd, J $=8.8,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.89(\mathrm{t}$, J $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.93(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.5,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.5$, $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.42 (ddd, J = 2.2, 7.2, $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.87 (dd, J $=2.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 31.3,37.6,37.8,52.6,55.3$, $116.2,117.1,119.1$ (d, J = 21.0 Hz ), 121.5, 126.7, 129.4, 136.9 (d, J $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 139.5, $145.2,146.2,155.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=258.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 173.6, 174.2; MS m/z 407 (M + H); HRMS m/z 407.1279 ( $\mathrm{M}+$ 1) ( $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{FN} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires 407.1255 ).

Methyl N-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-(3,4-dihydroxy-phenylalanyl]-L-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalaninate ((9S,-12S)-11). Under the conditions described for the preparation of compound 35 , coupling between amino ester 33 and L-N-BOC-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalanine (18) gave dipeptide 11 as a yellow oil in $90 \%$ yield after flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluent: EtOAc heptane $=2 / 1):[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+41.0\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.33\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3419,1738,1686,1615,1538,1506,1448 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 1 \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ (dd, J = 6.2, 14.0 Hz, 1H ), 3.75 (s, 3H ), $4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.42(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.9,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.48 (d, J = $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $\left.=8 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $2.0,7.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.86 (dd, J = 2.0, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); 13C NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD} 30 \mathrm{D}$ ) $\delta 28.5$ (3 C), 37.8, 38.2, 52.6, 55.3, 56.5, 80.8, 116.3, 117.2, 119.0 (d, J = 21.0 Hz), 121.7, 127.8, 129.0, 136.1, 137.9 (d, J $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 145.3,146.2,155.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=259.0$ $\mathrm{Hz})$, 156.8, 172.8, 173.3; MS m/z 522 (M + H). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C, $55.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.41 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.06$. Found: C, 55.46 ; H, 5.87; N, 7.87.

Methyl N-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)-L-(3,4-dihydroxy-phenylalanyl]-d-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalaninate ((9S,-12R)-42). Under the conditions described for the preparation of compound 35 , coupling between amino ester 33 and $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{N}$ -BOC-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalanine gave dipeptide 42 as a yellow oil in $90 \%$ yield after flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluent: EtOAc/heptane $=2 / 1)$ : $[\alpha]_{D}=+12.2\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.49\right)$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 3420, 1738, 1680, 1622, 1538, 1519, 1499, 1448, $1370 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) \delta 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.84$ (dd, J $=3.9,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.88 (dd, J $=5.1,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.00 (dd, J $=5.4,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.21(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68$ (dd, J $=7.8,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11$ (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.54 (dd, J $=2.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.7,11.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH})$, 7.81 (s, OH ), 7.99 (br d, J $=6.4,1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3}$ $\mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.5$ (3 C), 38.0, 38.2, 52.5, 54.7, 55.9, 79.7, 116.2, $117.3,118.8$ (d, J $=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 121.7, 127.7, 128.2, 136.5, 138.1 $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 145.1,146.0,155.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=269.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 156.8$, 172.1, 173.3; MS m/z 522 (M + H); HRMS m/z 522.1896 (M + H) ( $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}+\mathrm{H}$ requires 522.1888).

Methyl N-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl-N-methylamino)-L-(3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanyl]-L-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenyl-
alaninate ( $\mathbf{( 9 S}, \mathbf{1 2 S}$ )-45). Under the conditions described for the preparation of compound $\mathbf{3 5}$, coupling between amino ester 33 and I-N-BOC-N-methyl-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalanine gave dipeptide 45 as a yellow oil in $90 \%$ yield after flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluent: $\mathrm{EtOA} /$ heptane $\left.=1.2 / 1\right):[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-16.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.59\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1743,1680,1616,1539,1518,1476$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$, mixture of two rotamers) $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,14.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.4,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34$ (dd, J = 5.4, $13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.64 (m, 1H), 5.03 (m, 1H ), $6.53(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{1H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (m, NH), $7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{OH}), 8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.05 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$, mixture of two rotamers) $\delta 28.3$ (3 C), 31.1, 33.9, 37.4, 52.4, 54.5 and 54.6, 59.6, 80.5, 116.1, $117.1,118.9$ (d, J = 19.0 Hz), 121.5, 127.2, 129.1, 136.9, 137.8 $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 144.9,145.9,154.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=259.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 170.5$, 172.6; MS m/z 536 (M + H); HRMS m/z 536.2024 (M + H) ( $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}+\mathrm{H}$ requires 36.2044).
Methyl N-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl-N-methylamino)-L-(3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanyl]-D-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylalaninate ( $(95,12 R)-46)$. Under the conditions described for the preparation of compound 35 , coupling between amino ester 33 and d-N-BOC-N-methyl-4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylal anine gave dipeptide 46 as a yellow oil in $90 \%$ yield after flash chromatography (eluent: EtOAc/heptane $=1 / 1):[\alpha]_{D}=+41.3\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, c 1.95); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 1736, 1682, 1616, 1543, 1519, $1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$, mixture of two rotamers) $\delta$ 1.26 and $1.30(2 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (br s, 3 H$), 2.84-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.02 (dd, J = 10,6, $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.32 (m, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H), $4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93$ and $5.03(2 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 6.72 (d, J $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{COCD}_{3}$, mixture of two rotamers) $\delta 28.3$ (3 C), 30.7 and 31.1, 33.9 and $34.0,37.4,52.4,54.6,59.6$ and $61.3,80.6,116.1,117.1$, 118.9 (d, J = 19.0 Hz ), 121.5, 127.3, 129.1 (d, J $=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $137.1,137.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 144.9,145.9,154.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=259.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 170.3$ and 170.5, 172.7; MS m/z 536 (M + H).
(9S)-2,11-Dioxo-4-hydroxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-16-nitro-10-azatricyclo[12.2.2.13,7]nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17hexaene (36). To a solution of 35 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( $12.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.002 \mathrm{M}$ ) containing 3 Å molecular sieves was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.074 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After being stirred at room temperature for 3 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted four times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Purification by preparative TLC (EtOAc) afforded atropisomer 36a ( 4 mg , $35 \%$ ) as a white solid and its atropisomer 36b ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. Atropisomer 36a: mp 222-223 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAcheptane); $[\alpha]_{D}=+121.8\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 0.62\right) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3436,3309$, 1749, 1676, 1536, 1516, 1497, 1437, $1350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (250 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) \delta 2.43(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54$ (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=3.2,4.7,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01$ (dd, J $=4.8,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.1,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NH), $8.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.5 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) \delta 32.1,35.0,40.3,52.4,55.2,116.1,117.3$, 123.9, 126.6, 128.2, 131.3, 138.2, 141.5, 145.7, 151.3, 151.7, 155.7, 172.0; MS m/z 387 (M+H); HRMS m/z 387.1170 (M + 1) ( $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires 387.1192). Atropisomer 36b: $[\alpha]_{D}=$ $+19.3\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 1.00\right)$; I $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3550,3423,1743,1673,1532$, $1504,1441,1356 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.32$ (dt, J $=5.2,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.53 (ddd, J $=3.6,4.9,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.14 (ddd, J $=3.4,5.2,12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.63 (s, 3H), 4.03 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.78 (dd, J $=2.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 32.2, 34.7, 40.0, 52.4, 54.9, 115.0, 117.3, 123.9, 128.4, 128.6, 131.2, 136.3, 141.5, 150.9, 151.3, 171.2, 173.1; MS m/z 387 (M $+\mathrm{H})$.

A variable amount of cyclic dimer $\mathbf{3 8}$ was also isol ated under other cyclization conditions (see text) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 238-239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc-heptane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , CD3COCD3) $\delta 2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.2,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0$, $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.79 (d, J $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.25 (d, J $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.40 (dd, $J=2.0,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{OH})$; MS (FAB + NaCl) m/z $795(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
(M) (9S)-2,11-Dioxo-4-methoxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-16-nitro-10-azatricyclo[12.2.2.13,7]nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17hexaene (39a). To a solution of atropisomer $\mathbf{3 6 a}$ ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057$ mmol ) in acetone ( 5 mL ) were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and an excess of Mel . After being refluxed for 15 h , the reaction mixture was filtered through a short pad of Celite, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. Purification by preparative TLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: EtOAc) gave compound 39a ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]_{D}=-173\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.15\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3438$, 3013, 2931, 1744, 1681, 1538, 1494, 1438, $1350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) \delta 2.38(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53$ (ddd, J = 3.3, 4.7, $13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.79 (m, 2H), 3.04 (dt, J = $4.7,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.14 (ddd, J = 3.2, 4.9, $12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.64 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.72 (dd, J = 2.0, 8.3 Hz, 1H), $6.91(d, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36$ (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ (dd, J $=2.1$, $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) \delta 31.5,34.5,40.2,52.6,53.7,56.6,112.8,113.8$, 122.9, 127.9, 130.3, 134.7, 139.8, 147.4, 150.6, 151.3, 171.5, 172.4; MS (CI) m/z 401 (M + H).
(P) (9S)-2,11-Dioxo-4-methoxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-16-nitro-10-azatricyclo[12.2.2.13,7] nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,-17-hexaene (39b). Methylation of atropisomer $\mathbf{3 6 b}$ under the above-described conditions gave 39b as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $-90\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 1.5\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3433,3020,2962,2937,1744$, 1680, 1531, 1493, 1441, $1351 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3}-$ $\left.\mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) \delta 2.43(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=3.2$, $4.8,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,12.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=4.7,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.73 (dd, J = 2.2, 8.2 Hz, 1H), $6.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.45 (dd, J $=2.2,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.59 (dd, J $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}\right) \delta 31.7,34.6,39.8,52.0,54.7,56.3,113.4$, $115.5,123.0,126.1,127.6,132.2,137.7,141.0,148.0,151.1$, 152.4, 171.5, 173.0; MS (CI) m/z 401 (M + H); HRMS m/z $401.1335(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}+\mathrm{H}\right.$ requires 401.1349).
(9S)-2,11-Dioxo-4-methoxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-10azatricyclo[12.2.2.1 ${ }^{3,7}$ ]nonadeca-3,5,7-(19),14,16, 17-hexaene (40). To a stirred solution of 39a or $\mathbf{3 9 b}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025$ mmol ) in 5 mL of MeOH were added 2 drops of concentrated HCl and a catalytic amount of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. The resulting slurry was hydrogenated at atmospheric pressure for 30 min . The reaction mixture was then filtered through a short pad of Celite and washed with MeOH . The filtrate was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure to give the hydrochloride salt of aniline ( 10 mg , quantitative) as a white solid that was immediately used for the next step. To the solution of aniline in THF ( 1 mL ) and water ( 2 mL ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, were added sequentially $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{2}$ ( $50 \%$ solution in water, $23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 1 mL ). After being stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and at room temperaturefor 30 min , the reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 10 mL ) and extracted five times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Preparative TLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) afforded $40(6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]_{D}=-10.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{c} 0.50) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.3,16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), $3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22$ (ddd, J = 1.0, 7.4, $10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10$ (d, $\mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.60(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0$, $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10$ (dd, J = 2.4, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.26 (dd, J $=2.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.32 (dd, J $=2.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS m/z $356(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$; HRMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 356.1515(\mathrm{M}+1)\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right.$ requires 356.1498).
(9S,12S)-12-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)amino]-2,11-di-oxo-4-hydroxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-16-nitro-10-azatricyclo[12.2.2.1 $1^{3,7}$ ]nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17-hexaene (41). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1}(200.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(200 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.002$ M) containing $3 \AA$ molecular sieves was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (212.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature, and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction was quenched by dropwise addition of water and extracted four times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluent: EtOAcl heptane $=1 / 1.5$ ) afforded an inseparable mixture of atropisomer 41a and atropisomer 41b (110 mg, 57\%) as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ) for 41a $\delta 1.47$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.79$2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.1,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.3,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (m,NH), 7.53 (dd, J = 2.1, $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.11 (br s, 1H); 8.27 (s, OH); for 41b $\delta 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.2,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.3,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{NH}), 7.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $8.24(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH})$; MS m/z $502(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
(9S,12R)-12-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)amino]-2,11-di-oxo-4-hydroxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-16-nitro-10-azatricyclo-[12.2.2.13,7]nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17-hexaene (44). To a solution of $42(15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(6.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.002$ M) containing $3 \AA$ molecular sieves was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (16.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature, and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction was quenched by dropwise addition of water and extracted four times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Preparative TLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ heptane $=2 / 1$ ) afforded an inseparable mixture of atropisomer 44a and atropisomer 44b ( 8 mg , $55 \%$ ) as a white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) for 44a $\delta$ 1.45 (s, 9H), 2.59-3.06 (m, 3H), 3.28-3.44 (m, 1H), 3.68 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $5.77(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.11(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ (dd, J $=2.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; for $44 \mathrm{~b} \delta$ $1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH})$, $5.77(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.11(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1$, $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 502(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
(9S,12S)-12-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl )amino]-2,11-di-oxo-4-methoxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-16-nitro-10-aza-tricyclo[12.2.2.13,7]nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17-hexaene (20). Method A. To a sol ution of 41a and $\mathbf{4 1 b}$ ( $14.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028$ mmol ) in acetone ( 5 mL ) were added an excess of Mel and $\mathrm{K}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the resulting reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h . The volatile was removed, and the residue was taken up in water and extracted five times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Preparative TLC $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluent: EtOAcheptane $=1: 1$ ) afforded 20a ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ ) and 20b ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ ) identical in all respects with those prepared previously. Method B (one pot, cyclization-methylation): To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( $900 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.002 \mathrm{M}$ ) containing $3 \AA \AA$ molecular sieves was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.3$ mmol ) at room temperature, and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . After the total consumption of the starting material, an excess of Mel was then added, and the resulting pale yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction was quenched by addition of water and extracted four times with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluent: EtOAc/heptane $\left.=1: 1\right)$ afforded 20a and 20b ( $783.0 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) identical in all respects with those prepared previously.
(9S,12R)-12-[N-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)-N-methylami-no]-2,11-dioxo-4-methoxy-9-methoxycarbonyl-16-nitro-10-azatricyclo[12.2.2.13,7]nonadeca-3,5,7(19),14,16,17hexaene (47). The cyclization procedure described for 35 was applied to 46. PreparativeTLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: EtOAc/heptane $=1: 1$ ) afforded atropisomer 47a (28\%) as a white solid and atropisomer 47b (28\%) as yellow oil. For 47a: mp 252-254 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ EtOAc-heptane); $[\alpha]_{D}=+54.5\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.55\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ $3556,3419,1744,1688,1675,1600,1538,1519 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.3,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.2$, $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{t}$, J $=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.2,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.89(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.5$ (3 C), $30.2,34.6,34.9,52.6,53.2$, $61.0,81.2,113.8,116.3,124.2,127.7,130.0,135.8,136.8,144.3$, 149.0, 152.0, 156.3, 168.6, 172.0; MS m/z 516 (M + H). For 47b: $[\alpha]_{D}=+204.8\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.84\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3555,3420$, 3059, 2962, 2859, 1744, 1680, 1602, 1538, $1441 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.3,16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 2.96 (d, J = $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.99 (s, 3H), 3.04 (dd, J = 4.4, $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.16$ (d, J = 7.8 Hz, NH), 6.62 (dd, J = 1.9, 8.2 Hz, 1H), $6.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.2,8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.5$ (3 C), 30.2, 34.4, 35.0, 52.7, 52.8, 61.1, 81.2, 113.7, 116.4, 123.9, 127.2, 127.9, 129.9, 136.8, 138.5, 144.0, 149.0, 150.9, 155.8, 169.0, 171.5; MS m/z 516 (M + H), 416, 386.

Cycloisodityrosine 6. A solution of compound 22 ( 380 mg , $0.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(1.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to remove the neutral species. The aqueous solution was then carefully basified and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give pure compound 6 ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) as a col orless oil. Compound $\mathbf{6}$ was found to exist as a mixture of two distinct conformers that were detectable by $\mathrm{TLC}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.41$ and 0.48 in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}=10 / 1\right)$ : IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2985$, 1748, 1642, 1522, $1501 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of two conformers $A$ and $B(1 / 1)$ not assignable) $\delta 2.59$ (s,3H), $2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-3.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 3.56$ (dd, J $=4.2,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.41 (dd, J $=3.7,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62$ (br. d, J $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.3$, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.4(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1$, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.48 (dd, J $=2.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS m/z 399 ( $\mathrm{M}+$ H); HRMS m/z $399.1911(M+H)\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\mathrm{H}\right.$ requires 399.1920).

NHBoc-(S)-Ala-NMe-cycloisodityrosine (52). To a precooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $\mathbf{6}(52.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF (2 mL ) were added $51(49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$, PyBrOP ( 126.0 mg , 0.26 mmol ), and ${ }^{~} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ (excess), and the resulting solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction mixture was then diluted with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The aqueous sol ution was extracted three times with EtOAC, and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Purification by preparativeTLC (1:1 EtOAc/heptane) afforded 52 ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$, oil) as a mixture of two separable conformers: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-152.0\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, c 0.40); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3438,1744,1706,1638,1519,1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, mixture of two conformers $8 / 1$ ) Major conformer $\delta 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.57$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.74(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.0$, $18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.22 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.35(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65$ (dd, J $=3.7,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33$ (dd, J $=3.0,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.61(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $2.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4$,
$8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.3$, $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42$ (dd, J = 2.3, $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS m/z 570 ( $\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})$; HRMS m/z $570.2807(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}+\mathrm{H}\right.$ requires 570.2815).

Hexapeptide (50). A solution of 52 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in TFA ( 1 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 20 min . The volatile was then evaporated, and the residue was redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this sol ution was added ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pr}_{2}-$ NEt (excess), H OBt ( $12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDC ( $15.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and the tripeptide 53 ( $36.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After being stirred at room temperature for 24 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted three times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Preparative TLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) gave 50 ( $15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ) as a col orless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of conformers) major conformer $\delta 0.85-0.98$ and 1.22-1.48 ( $\mathrm{m}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.48 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.61-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.8$, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.8,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 7.27 (dd, J $=1.6,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.42 (dd, J $=1.6,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H); MS (FAB Thioglycerol + NaCl) m/z $946(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na})$.

RA VII (1). To a stirred solution of $\mathbf{5 0}(15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of sol vents ( $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4 / 1 / 1,2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The volatile was then removed in vacuo, and the resulting residue was taken up in diluted HCl solution and extracted five times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give acid ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ) as a colorless oil that was used whithout further purification for the following reaction. The so-obtained product was dissol ved in 2 mL of a $3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}-E t O A c$ solution. After 1 h , the solvent was evaporated to give the seco acid as a white solid, MS (FAB Thioglycerol) m/z $789(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$, which was used without further purification for the cyclization reaction. To a precooled solution $\left(5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) of seco acid in DMF ( 1 mL ) was added solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( $3.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DPPA ( $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting slurry was stirred at the same temperature for 72 h. The reaction mixture was then filtrated and washedwith EtOAc, the filtrate was evaporated to dryness, and the resulting residue was submitted directly to preparative TLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, eluent: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}=10 / 1$ ) to give $2.5 \mathrm{mg}(20 \%)$ of RA-VII 1 identical in all respects with natural sample: $[\alpha]_{D}$ $=-222.0\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.09 ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{lit} .{ }^{1 \mathrm{a}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-229.0\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.\right.$, c 0.1); li. $\mathrm{t}^{10 \mathrm{~b}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-209.0\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.39\right)$ ); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 34103300, 3006, 2932, 1667, 1644, $1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of conformers) major conformer $\delta 1.11$ (d, $\mathrm{J}=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14$ (s, 3H), 3.32 (dd, J = 10.6, $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.38 (dd, J = 5.0, $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48$ (brd, J $=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.0$, $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6$, $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.9,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.9,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0$, $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.9,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 7.27 (dd, J $=1.9,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.43 (dd, J $=1.9,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ); MS (FAB thioglycerol +NaCl$) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 793(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
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